Workshop

Reproducible Scientometrics Research: Open Data, Code, and Education

> Wuhan, Oct 17, 2017 ISSI 2017

Welcome

On behalf of the workshop organizers: Sybille Hinze, Jason Rollins, Jesper Schneider, Theresa Velden, Ludo Waltman (in absentia: Katy Börner & Andrea Scharnhorst)

Workshop Goal: Identify challenges to the reproducibility of research in the field of scientometrics and infometrics and key actions to improve reproducibility

- 1:30 3:00 PM Presentations & Discussion
- **3:00 3:30 PM** Coffee Break (sponsored by Clarivate Analytics)
- 3:30 4:30 PM Discussion Groups
- 4:30 5:00 PM Plenary: Discussion & Conclusion

1:30 - 3:00 PM Presentations & Discussion
3:00 - 3:30 PM Coffee Break (sponsored by Clarivate Analytics)
3:30 - 4:30 PM Discussion Groups
4:30 - 5:00 PM Plenary Discussion & Conclusion

1:30 - 3:00 PM Presentations & Discussion
3:00 - 3:30 PM Coffee Break (sponsored by Clarivate Analytics)
3:30 - 4:30 PM Discussion Groups

- Data
- Methods (Software, Statistical Analysis)
- Interpretation & Knowledge Claims

4:30 - 5:00 PM Plenary Discussion & Conclusion

1:30 - 3:00 PM Presentations & Discussion

3:00 - 3:30 PM Coffee Break (sponsored by Clarivate Analytics)

3:30 - 4:30 PM Discussion Groups

4:30 - 5:00 PM Plenary (chaired by: Sybille Hinze)

- Discussion: Key Challenges to Reproducibility in Scientometrics & Key Actions
- Conclusion

Introduction to Workshop Terminology & Motivation

Sybille Hinze & Theresa Velden

Terminology & Concepts

- Scope of reproducibility -

- A. Technical reproducibility -> verification of result
 - Can the result be repeated with the given procedure?
 - Level of re-use affects visibility of issues with exact replicability
- B. Conceptual reproducibility -> robustness of interpretation
 - Can the knowledge claim be corroborated by an alternative approach?
 - Level of re-use & interdependency and intensity of research affect visibility of issues with conceptual replicability

When is irreproducibility problematic?

Reproducibility , Crisis'

= Concern about reliability of published research, in particular in biomedicine and psychology

> 50% of studies published in preclinical research not reproducible (equivalent to \$ 28 billion research investment)

Freedman et al., PLOS Biology, 2015

Replication study of 100 studies found only 36% of significant results, and largely reduced effect sizes.

Open Science Collaboration, Science 349(6251), 2015

Reproducibility , Crisis' Practices undermining reproducibility

robustness of a knowledge claim (conceptual reproducibility)



Reproducibility , Crisis' Proposed *open science* measures

- Pre-registration of trials (quality control of methods and study design, address publication bias)
- Open code/scripts (quality control of methods & implementation)
- Data sharing (quality control of data analysis & interpretation)
- Open peer-review (quality control of methods & interpretation)

Workshop Motivation

Issues vary with the specific epistemic practices in a field - how about scientometrics ?

Questions for this Workshop

- What threats to the reliability of scientific knowledge in scientometrics exist & why bother?
- Should we be more concerned about exact or conceptual reproducibility? (Why?)
- Through what measures can these threats be addressed?

1:30 - 3:00 PM Presentations & Discussion

- 1. Introduction (Theresa Velden & Sybille Hinze)
- 2. Reproducibility in Scientometrics Data Enclaves, Open Code, and Open Education (Katy Börner video)
- 3. Reproducibility in Scientometrics Through Quality Assurance (Sybille Hinze)
- 4. A Vendor's View on Reproducibility Datasets, Tools, & Partnerships (Jason Rollins)
- 5. Reproducibility in Scientometrics A Journal Editor's Perspective (Ludo Waltman)
- 6. Reproducibility Principles and Challenges (Jesper Schneider)
- 7. Reproducibility & the Performativity of Methods (Theresa Velden)